#### Chapter 14

# Logical Properties Satisfied? Not satisfied?

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

 $\cap$ 

I ©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

### **Logical Properties**



### Background

- Temporal Logic due to Pneuli (1977) is a popular means to describe process properties in logic.
- Use propositions on selected variable states at particular points in program executions.
- Realized as the **assert** construct in Java.

States in an LTS model based on actions or events? HOW?

- Introduce fluents to describe abstract "states".
- Express both safety and liveness properties as fluent propositions.

Pnueli, A. (1977). The Temporal Logic of Programs. Proc. of the 18<sup>th</sup> IEEE Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science, Oct/Nov 1977, pp. 46-57. Robert A. Kowalski, Marek J. Sergot (1986). A Logic-based Calculus

of Events. New Generation Comput. 4(1): 67-95

3







#### **Fluents**

**fluent FL** = <{s<sub>1</sub>,...,s<sub>n</sub>},{e<sub>1</sub>,...,e<sub>n</sub>}> **initially** *B* defines a fluent FL that is initially true if the expression *B* is true and initially false if the expression *B* is false. FL becomes true when any of the initiating (or starting) actions {s<sub>1</sub>, ...,s<sub>n</sub>} occur and false when any of the terminating (or ending) actions {e<sub>1</sub>, ...,e<sub>n</sub>} occur. If the term **initially** *B* is omitted then FL is initially false. The same action may not be used as both an initiating and terminating action.

A fluent  $\{s_1,...,s_n\}, \{e_1,...,e_n\} >$  thus describes an abstract state that is entered by executing any of the actions in  $\{s_1,...,s_n\}$ , and exited by executing any of the actions in  $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ .



#### Fluent Linear Temporal Logic (FLTL) Expressions

FLTL expression can be constructed using Boolean operators and quantifiers:
&&, ||, !, ->, <->, forall, exists
E.g., If the light is on, power is also on:
fluent LIGHT = <on, off>
fluent POWER = <power\_on, power\_off >
LIGHT -> POWER
All lights are on:
fluent LIGHT[i:1..2] = <on[i], off[i]>
forall[i:1..2] LIGHT[i]
At least one light is on:
fluent LIGHT[i:1..2] = <on[i], off[i]>
exists[i:1..2] LIGHT[i]

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

6 ©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

## Fluent Linear Temporal Logic (FLTL) Expressions

- There are five temporal operators in FLTL
  - Always []
  - Eventually <>
  - Until U
  - Weak until W
  - Next time X
- Amongst the five operators, always [] and eventually <> are the two most commonly used ones.
- Until, Weak until and Next time allows complex relation between abstract states.

#### **Temporal propositions**

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

#### **Safety Properties: Mutual Exclusion**

- LOOP = (mutex.down->enter->exit->mutex.up->LOOP).
- fluent CRITICAL[i:1..N] = <p[i].enter, p[i].exit>
- Two processes are not in their critical sections simultaneously?

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

#### **Safety Properties: Mutual Exclusion**

- The linear temporal logic formula []F always F is true if and only if the formula F is true at the current instant and at all future instants.
- No two processes can be at critical sections simultaneously:

assert MUTEX = []!(CRITICAL[1] && CRITICAL[2])

 LTSA compiles the assert statement into a safety property process with an ERROR state.

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

10 ©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

#### **Safety Properties: Mutual Exclusion**

Trace to property violation in MUTEX:

p.1.mutex.down	
p.1.enter	CRITICAL.1
p.2.mutex.down	CRITICAL.1
p.2.enter	CRITICAL.1 && CRITICAL.2

 General expression of the mutual exclusion property for N processes:

```
assert MUTEX_N(N=2) = []!(exists [i:1..N-1]
(CRITICAL[i] && CRITICAL[i+1..N] ))
```

## Safety Properties: Oneway in Single-Lane Bridge

assert ONEWAY = []!(RED[ID] && BLUE[ID])

### Single Lane Bridge - safety property ONEWAY

The fluent proposition is more concise as compared with the property process ONEWAY. This is usually the case where a safety property can be expressed as a relationship between abstract states of a system.

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

13 ©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

#### **Liveness Properties**

The linear temporal logic formula  $\langle F - eventually F - is$  true if and only if the formula F is true at the current instant or at some future instant.

• First red car must eventually enter the bridge:

assert FIRSTRED = <>red[1].enter

- To check the liveness property, LTSA transforms the negation of the assert statement in terms of a Büchi automaton.
- A Büchi automaton recognizes an infinite trace if that trace passes through an acceptance state infinitely often.



### **Liveness Properties: Progress Properties**

- Compose the Büchi automaton and the original system.
- Search for acceptance state in strong connected components.
- Failure of the search implies no trace can satisfy the Buchi automaton.
- It validates that the assert property holds.
- Red and blue cars enter the bridge infinitely often.

assert REDCROSS = forall [i:ID] []<>red[i].enter assert BLUECROSS = forall [i:ID] []<>blue[i].enter assert CROSS = (REDCROSS && BLUECROSS)

## Liveness Properties: Response Properties

- If a red car enters the bridge, it should eventually exit.
- It does not stop in the middle or fall over the side!

assert REDEXIT = forall [i:ID]
[](red[i].enter -> <>red[i].exit)

Such kind of properties is sometimes termed "response" properties, which follows the form:

[](request-> <>reply)

This form of liveness property cannot be specified using the progress properties discussed earlier.

## Fluent Linear Temporal Logic (FLTL)

- There are five operators in FLTL
  - Always []
  - Eventually <>
  - Until U
  - Weak until W
  - Next time X
- Amongst the five operators, always [] and eventually <> are the two most commonly used ones.
- Until, Weak until and Next time allows complex relation between abstract states.

```
2015 Concurrency: logical properties
```

17 ©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

©Magee/Kramer 2nd Edition

### Summary

- A fluent is defined by a set of initiating actions and a set of terminating actions.
- At a particular instant, a fluent is true if and only if it was initially true or an initiating action has previously occurred and, in both cases, no terminating action has yet occurred.
- In general, we don't differentiate safety and liveness properties in fluent linear temporal logic FLTL.
- We verify an LTS model against a given set of fluent propositions.
- LTSA evaluates the set of fluents that hold each time an action has taken place in the model.

2015 Concurrency: logical properties

18 ©Magee/Kramer 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

## **Course Outline**



2015 Concurrency: logical properties